

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,638	09/04/2003	George Triantopoulos	577-520 CON	4828
23869	7590 07/13/2005	EXAMINER		
	N & BARON, LLP IO TURNPIKE	NGUYEN, CHAU N		
SYOSSET, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2831	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	110	
10/654,638	TRIANTOPOULOS	TRIANTOPOULOS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chau N. Nguyen	2831		
	•	E .	

Dorord and running or an representation	Examiner .	Art Unit				
	Chau N. Nguyen	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring in ally set in the final Office.	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS		. ,				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •	duales es almostificas	tha iaawaa faa			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	ine issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,3,4,7-9 and 14-17.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5,6 and 18-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	ut before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will no	t be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a			
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • •	·			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by the combination of Schrader and Park does teach the in side walls (see Figure 8A).						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
13. Other:		Ch and	man -			
		www.	1 april			
		Chau N Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2831	, •			